2

3

HUNTERS POINT ANNEX

ORIGINAL

PARCEL A PROPOSED PLAN

PUBLIC MEETING

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

SOUTHEAST COMMUNITY CENTER

1800 OAKDALE AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

AUGUST 22, 1995

6:00 P.M. - 8:30 P.M.

REPORTED BY: PAUL SCHILLER, CSR #1268

(The meeting was called to order by LCDR Chuck Heron at 6:35 p.m.)

LCDR HERON: Good evening. I'm LCDR Chuck Heron from EFA West, down the road a piece, in San Bruno.

I would like to welcome you all here.

First, I really appreciate your turning out; and I would like to take this opportunity to state the purpose of this meeting; and that is, basically, we feel that it is important that we get your input; because as an important part of the decision-making process, this meeting has been set up to give the community members an opportunity to provide both oral and written comments on the proposed plan for Parcel A at Hunters Point Annex.

Prior to taking comments, we will be giving a brief overview of the proposed plan and answer and clarify questions you may have on the overview of Parcel A.

You notice there are tables in the

back to sign up, and they also have speaker sign-up cards. There are some handouts and refreshments in the back.

The restrooms are out a couple of doors on your left, and the water fountain and the phone is upstairs, in case you need to make phone calls.

Before I get into the agenda, there are a couple of other people I would like to introduce.

First of all, Richard Powell, who is lead RPM at Hunters Point here; and Bill Radzevich is also RPM at Hunters Point Annex.

From PRC, we have Scott Weber, Lynne Haroun, Diana Auyueng, and Jim Sickles.

From BCT, we have Mike McClelland,
who is from the Navy, who's our environmental
coordinator, and Claire Trombadore and Cyrus
Shabahari. Cyrus is from Cal EPA, and Claire is
from U.S. EPA.

Without further ado, let me just go into the agenda real quickly:

Some of you may have picked up the agenda on the back table. We are into "Welcome and Introduction" part; and in a few minutes, we will move on to the presentation and discussion of the proposed plan, which will be given by Richard Powell.

Then we will have a break from 6:50 to seven o'clock, and that's an opportunity to go around and mingle again and look at the posters and questions that you may have of us, and come back at seven o'clock for some public comments, and then we will plan on adjourning around 8:25, 8:30-ish.

Some basic ground rules:

We want this to be fair to everyone.

As I said, we will be using the sign-up sheets for speaker cards, so we will take those in the order that they come in, and I will announce the person.

If you would then come forward to the center microphone, state your name and what organization you are with or what community, and we will get that on the public record.

We will try to keep our comments to

We will try to keep our comments to three or four minutes; but if you need to go over that, it depends on how many people want to speak tonight.

All comments will be taken down by
the court reporter there in front of me, and he is
here to make sure that all the oral comments are
properly reported.

not be given tonight, but they will be part of the record, and they will be provided in writing, and the responses in the summary and the record of decision, which is scheduled to be available at the end of November of 1995.

It will be included in the City of San Francisco Main Library and the Anna Waden

Branch Library.

Those who do not wish to provide oral comments, we welcome your written comments; and those forms are in the back of the room as well.

The total number of written comments and the people that submit written comments, I will voice those toward the end of the evening tonight so that the names and the fact that they had submitted written comments will be entered into the public record.

Both oral and written comments will be a matter of the public record from tonight on out.

The idea is that we will be taking written comments until the 5th of September, so if you do have written comments you don't give us tonight, the people who do have them, make sure they get them postmarked by 5 September.

With that, I would like to introduce Richard Powell, who is our lead RPM for Hunters

Branch Bibi

MARY HILLABRAND, INC. (415)255-1994

Point; and he has an overview of the proposed Parcel A Plan.

MR. POWELL: Good evening. I'd like to welcome you to this Bayview Hunters Point community meeting.

My name is Richard Powell. I'm an environmental engineer, and I work for the Navy.

Tonight's meeting is being sponsored by the Navy in cooperation with the United States

Environmental Protection Agency and the California Environmental Protection Agency.

I've worked for the Navy for about 15 years; and although my office is in San Bruno,

I've spent the last ten years working on projects at the Hunters Point Shipyard. At present, I'm a member of a project team which is working on the hazardous waste investigation and cleanup program at the shipyard. That program is the reason we're here tonight. We want your comments and thoughts on the Navy's proposed plan to finish the Parcel A

investigation and cleanup, and we have provided a public comment period following the break.

Successful completion will allow the City of San Francisco to reuse Parcel A.

In order to start the discussion between us, I'd like to present some background information on our program and provide some details on Parcel A.

The Navy began its hazardous waste program in response to public concern that forgotten wastes might exist on Navy bases; and if it did, it might damage public health or damage the environment. At the Hunters Point Shipyard, we began by trying to find all the places where these wastes might be located. We did this by interviewing past and present shipyard workers, looking through old records, and checking old photos. Some suspected problem areas, which needed more study, were found. This included nine areas in Parcel A. With some help from Bill

Radzevich, who is also a member of the project team, and this aerial photo, we can look at Parcel A in greater detail.

On the photo, you can see the PG&E plant; and although it's not shown, Candlestick

Park is right about here. (Indicating). The shipyard is about 1,000 acres in size. Something you may not realize is that about 500 acres is dry land, the shipyard as you see it here; and the other 500 acres is offshore underwater.

1,000 acres is very difficult and expensive. In order to make this problem more manageable, the project team divided up the 1,000 acres into smaller parcels. We now work on six parcels, A through F, as shown on this photo. Parcel A is about 90 acres in size. In the past, Parcel A has been used for housing and light commercial activities. It was not used for heavy industrial activities.

Despite its past use as residential and commercial, we did find nine areas within

Parcel A which needed to be checked. These areas, which are described in detail in the proposed plan, are typically small vacant lots next to buildings or they are underground utilities, like sewers, tanks or steam lines. The project team also decided to check the water underneath Parcel A. The chemicals we looked for were things like the pesticide DDT, motor oil, PCB's, industrial cleaning solutions, copper and lead.

By using both old and new sampling methods, soil and groundwater in these nine areas were tested to see if chemicals were present and, if they were, how much there was in each area.

One new sampling method that the project team used was called "Investigation by Excavation." This method included reducing contamination by digging out small amounts of soil at the same time the testing samples were gathered. It's sort of a

clean-as-you-go approach.

Once the project team had good information on the amount and location of the chemicals, we compared that information to public health and environmental standards. The comparison, which is called a Risk Assessment, included looking at the ways a person might be exposed to contamination. At Parcel A, the team looked at what might happen if people touched the soil, used the underground water, or ate garden produce grown on Parcel A. When the Risk Assessment was finished, it showed that Parcel A is now safe and can be reused by the City.

The project team looked at two alternatives for completing the work in Parcel A. The first alternative was no further action. The second alternative was limited action, which included deed notification of low levels of motor oil in the underground water and sealing of the wells used during the study.

action plan, because it protects human health and it protects the environment. However, the final decision on the Parcel A plan will not be made until all public comments have been received and reviewed. The final plan will be developed by the Navy in cooperation with the United States EPA and the California EPA. All Parcel A project documents and other reference materials are

available for your review at the Branch Library at

5075 Third Street and the Main Library at the

Civic Center.

The Navy is recommending the no

presentation. If I can clarify anything that I covered in my talk, we do have some time for questions. If you have questions or comments which you would like in the public record and answered in the final report, please hold them until after the break. The project team will be available to speak to you during the break.

1	On behalf of the project team, thank
2	you for your interest in our program.
3	LCDR HERON: Thank you, Richard.
4	At this time, I will open up the
5	floor for any clarifying questions on what Richard
6	was addressing. Bear in mind that, after the
7	break, there will be a comment period; but this is
8	an opportunity for any questions, specifically if
9	there was something that maybe you did not think
10	Richard said clearly enough or you did not catch
11	something; so we will open up the floor for
12	questions.
13	Please state your name.
14	MR. CHARLES WALKER: I'm Charlie
15	Walker, a member of the RAB Board.
16	I'm vehemently opposed to this
17	meeting this afternoon, because the same people
18	that are here now will be at that meeting
19	tomorrow.

This meeting we give of our time, and

1 the 2 the 3 cor 4 mee 5 it 6 cor 7 oth 8 Th: 9 th:

they don't pay us no compensation. You get paid, the Navy gets paid, PRC gets paid. People in this community don't get a dime. You all called the meeting and don't have the common courtesy to do it before the RAB Board, before the people in my community. My organization appointed me to do -- other people in this community to be involved. This grossly affects African-American people in this community.

But what I don't understand is, they look to Espanola, they look to me, but they ain't going to show up until something goes haywire.

This is taking unfair advantage of us to have this meeting. You did not bring it before the Board; you were supposed to do it last week, the last meeting; but the place got confused so you ended up not having a meeting. So you all turned around and are having a meeting today, all of us unprepared on what you're talking about.

And what I don't understand is what

19

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

is the rush to do this today; and tomorrow morning at nine o'clock with the same people in this room now, we're going to be here.

Now, if this is not a part of tomorrow, I might be able to understand it. this is going to be a large part of tomorrow. Instead of that, instead of us being able to participate as members of the Board of Directors and the way we were promised we would be able to do -- I'm not in the military -- as a military officer over me that directly involves our community or what you're going to do -- and we ain't got no say-so. And that ain't the way this was supposed to work, and I ain't got nothing against you because you're in the Navy, but the Navy has not seen fit to see to it that PRC do less than 2% of employing our people in this community.

They know it is the biggest violator of all; they studied it; it's the worst

_

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

construction company in the world is PRC. I know it; they know it; and I don't mind telling them; and it's not because they have a black representative; they always have a black representative with these companies that they intend to drive over the African-American people.

And I am saying tonight that I don't understand -- and you can explain it -- why you're having this meeting tonight.

apprised of it. The first thing I knew about this meeting -- and I have been to every meeting; it is not because I don't come to the meeting; I come to every one of these meetings; and we didn't know nothing about it.

You are having it tonight, so we are going to it tomorrow, and the same people are here. What is the rush to have this meeting tonight?

I would like for you to postpone this

meeting until the Board of Directors have an opportunity to listen and look at it first.

That's what you appointed us to do; that's what we have given our time with no compensation to represent this community. Espanola Jackson, we got the man we are paying from BBI. These are the people in our community. I don't know where these other people come from. I don't know what you're talking about they are speaking for.

I don't know that what you're talking about that this is a community meeting -- this ain't no community meeting. If you would consult with the people that come to the meeting all the time and tell us, then you will have some people there. We can do this.

If you want newspaper time, if you want to do this -- I tried to explain to you what it is going to take. You wouldn't listen. Now the same people are here. What is the rush to have this meeting, Lt. Commander -- I think it is

Lt. Commander. What is the rush to have this

meeting when it was supposed to go before the

Board of Directors first? Why are you doing this
to us?

If you do it today, you will do it from now on. You will continue to find ways to circumvent the process that you all set up. We didn't do it. President Clinton said they needed community input and the RAB Board or Restoration Advisory Board.

Now, we pay that man, BBI, to do the very thing; and here we are at a meeting that we don't know nothing about, that none of us know nothing about this meeting.

And what about some advice for us?

You all give me twenty books, "Study this,

Charlie." I told you and I told him, I told the

public, none of us understand the language in

those books, that great big book, I got one on my

desk. I tried to read that book and fell asleep

after the first paragraph, mainly not because I'm ignorant, I don't understand what the words mean.

I have no one to consult with. You all told us that the EPA was going to supply us with those persons or that person. We have been with you for two years; they have not supplied it. The EPA has spent more than \$200,000 trying to administer \$50,000 for a person to teach us what it means.

Now, when are you going to make the EPA get that advisor on board? When are we going to be privy to the same type of advice that you people are privy to?

We all want to know what something means. You got staff. When we want to know what it means and what it means to people in our community, who do we turn to?

We can't trust the Navy. That goes without saying. We can't trust the people in the Redevelopment Agency. That goes without saying.

1	And surely the mayor is interested when he is
2	running for reelection.
3	So what I'm saying, when do we get a
4	break to know what the hell is going on, and why
5	are you circumventing us tonight, having the
6	meeting, knowing that it is going to go before the
7	Board of Directors?
8	And I have not seen it until tonight.
9	Why? I want to know why are we having this
10	meeting? Are we going to have this meeting
11	tomorrow morning? Is this the same meeting we're
12	going to have tomorrow morning?
13	LCDR HERON: No, it is not.
14	MR. WALKER: In other words, none of
15	this is coming up tomorrow?
16	LCDR HERON: I have not seen the
17	agenda. Do you know what the agenda for
18	tomorrow's RAB meeting is?
19	FROM THE FLOOR: The agenda for
20	tomorrow's RAB meeting is the proposed plan for

Parcel A. 1 2 MR. WALKER: Are we discussing Parcel 3 A tomorrow? 4 FROM THE FLOOR: The RI/FS, yes. 5 MR. WALKER: To discuss it tomorrow, 6 I'm saying that this is unfair to us who give of 7 our time; and now you want to have a meeting behind the community's back. 8 9 I will do it any way you want to do 10 it, but the community is us. We are the 11 community, and the community looks for Espanola, 12 they look at me and the few of us that come to the 13 meeting, and this is not representative of the 14 people in Bayview-Hunters Point. 15 This is unfair to them, and it is 16 unfair to us that you're having this meeting 17 tonight, and tell me that you're going to have it tomorrow morning at nine o'clock. 18 19 MR. POWELL: We sent over 1,300 20

notices to people in the community, and all

members of the RAB.

MR. WALKER: I'm not arguing that as much as I'm arguing why did you decide to have this meeting tonight before it went before the Board that the President said that you had to have a RAB Board?

You all put that law in effect. Why are we having this meeting tonight, and it did not come before us first?

And the reason you told me, standing in the back, is because the meeting was canceled last month, because you couldn't get a place to have it.

Now, if we're supposed to see it first and we are on the Board of Directors, then how does it first get to be tomorrow, and you're having a meeting tonight, and the same thing you're going over tonight we're going to go over at nine o'clock tomorrow morning, and if you continue on this path, what direction are we going

on?

Why do we need a Board of Directors if you're going to do things like that? That is all I want to know. The same people who are here now will be here tomorrow morning. The same people for Mr. Wood will be here tomorrow morning; and if you are appealing to the community, then what I want to know is why are we having the meeting tonight?

You know it's not right, and you know we were supposed to have this meeting at this meeting at the last meeting; and all of a sudden, you guys can't wait; and it's not due until the 5th of next month. There was plenty of time to have this meeting after the Board of Directors see it.

That's my point, and we give our time free. You get paid. He gets paid. He gets paid. Black people are here. We got to come to all the stupid meetings; and when we give of ourselves,

you do something like this.

This is unfair; that's my whole point. And what I want to tell you, if we're going to have any of this meeting tomorrow, then let's not have it until tomorrow.

Why would you submit us to this type of undue madness? We're going to have this meeting tomorrow morning at nine o'clock. Why are we here now? What is it that you want to do tonight that we won't be doing tomorrow morning? That's my question. And what can we do about it?

This is the same meeting we're having at nine o'clock in the morning, and these are the same people that are supposed to be there, the same representatives of the community. But the community elected to have certain ones of us on the Board, but we don't have no say-so, because you want to do it now before it comes to the Board.

This is what's wrong with it,

1 Lieutenant.

LCDR HERON: I understand your comments, Charlie. RAB will have an opportunity to make comments from here until the 5th of September, from here on.

MR. WALKER: If that's the case, why are you having it now?

LCDR HERON: This is the time to kick it off. It will be open until the 5th of September when you give oral or written comments.

MR. WALKER: But we do the same thing tomorrow; we do the same thing tomorrow morning at nine o'clock you are talking about. None of us are prepared this evening. None of us knew all of this was going to do on.

None of us are technically qualified to understand what is in the book. None of us understand on the Board what these charts mean, and we told you all that about 5,000 times. We need somebody on board to help us.

You have sworn you're going to give it to us. It has been two years; we still don't have it. You mean that you can't find nobody to help us in two years?

MR. POWELL: Can I try to respond?

I realize that this meeting is somewhat out of order, based on the fact that we're going to have the RAB meeting tomorrow.

When the last RAB meeting got canceled because we couldn't get in this room, it upset the sequence that we had set up to have a RAB meeting, public meeting, in the evening to try to get the folks who can't come to the RAB meeting in the morning, to give them a chance to come out in the evening.

MR. WALKER: Okay, so your sequence -- what you're telling me is your sequence went out, so to hell with the community.

MR. POWELL: This meeting had to be set up about a month ago in order to get the notices in the newspaper, get the plan mailed out

to the 1,300 people that we mailed it to; and so once we had it set up, we were pretty much locked in to tonight.

If you're having trouble with the technical adequacy of these documents, I know I'm from the Navy, you don't trust me; but if you will take my name and phone number, I will be happy to talk to you anytime, come out, sit with you, and go through these documents and try to help your understanding of what we are proposing.

MR. WALKER: Okay, I'll come to get it.

That's not my point, sir. We have been asking for someone like you for the last 18 months. Now you come and tell me you're willing to do it, but it throws your sequence out. I am saying that this mess is supposed to go before the Board of Directors before it makes it to here.

Now, you know and he know and I know, why are you doing it like this? I want to know

1 what is the rush to do it like this? 2 MR. POWELL: I think the rush is 3 based on the fact that if we can complete this process that we have underway, to do the 4 5 investigation, write the reports, have meetings with the community, this parcel will be ready for 6 7 transfer to the City in very short order. 8 We want to make sure that parcels are 9 transferred as quickly as we can get them done. 10 The community needs 90 acres of the 11 buildable land, as I understand it; and we are 12 pushing -- I admit that we are pushing -- but 13 pushing at this point gets that parcel transferred 14 to the City so they can implement a plan. 15 And when I offered you my name and 16 phone number, I am serious. Call me. 17 MR. WALKER: Okay, that is 18 understandable. 19 But what I'm saying to you is, you 20 are all in a rush to transfer this to the City. We have been arguing with the Navy on the RAB Board how are you going to involve people in this community to be able to economically be involved in that land disposition and everything.

You all won't come to that agreement with us. Every time you all want to do something, you do it in the name of expedience. I am saying there is no reason tonight to have this meeting that we're going to have tomorrow morning at nine o'clock; and since you couldn't get in here last month, what was the necessity to put this meeting before the RAB Board, when it is supposed to be the opposite?

The horse goes before the cart, and you are admitting now that you were supposed to do that, but in the name of expedience, you don't want to do it.

MR. POWELL: I wouldn't say

"expedience." I would say the Navy very much

wants to transfer some developable land to the

City of San Francisco. Parcel A, which we're discussing tonight and we had hoped to discuss with the RAB a month ago, and you will be able to discuss in the morning, we are right there. It's only a couple of months away that we will have the hazardous waste problem resolved.

Now, there is some other administrative stuff; but this parcel is almost available to the City and the community.

MR. WALKER: But the RAB Board of
Directors, sir, was supposed to be able to talk to
the Navy and its representatives to be able to
effect some meaningful economic development from
people in this community. But the Navy has
elected to say, "We are not going to deal with you
all in the community." The Navy has told the
people out here to go to undue expense and go to
the CAC Board; and after approving these lands for
people in the community, the Navy turned around
and said, "We're not going to give it to you; we

have changed our mind."

After the little money that people in this community have, they spent to get accountants to develop their brochures to deliver to the CAC, now you all want to rush to do this when African-American people here are not included.

You are not going to give us 11 acres or 20 acres or 90 acres. We don't have that money. The only thing we can do is get some little parcel. They don't even want to do it for us. This is why they want to have this meeting for tonight, because I have been stuck in the mud in how to get some of our people involved in a meaningful way, to be able to make some money from that shipyard. My father was killed in that shipyard. Other people here and people on this hill are dying from the effects of that yard.

I'm saying to you now, what good is it for you all to have us, and you want to circumvent us? That is wrong, because you knew

that we did it for free. We didn't get paid a dime. You guys are getting paid to do this, but you cannot use our time and then go around us after the President said you had to have us.

What is the necessity? We're going to do it tomorrow morning. Are you going to be here tomorrow morning?

LCDR HERON: I'm not going to be here tomorrow morning. There will be representatives here from the Navy; and in the interest of time --

MR. WALKER: I will not attend this meeting tonight in protest over the fact that you all are taking advantage, and I will make a note to our future mayor of San Francisco that you are having this meeting tonight excluding the RAB Board that you people set up. And I am saying to you that this is no way to treat people in this community.

And I don't know how smug you guys feel about it, or how condescending you look at

it, or how argumentative I may appear, but this is not right. It is not right to have this before we have the meeting tomorrow. Everyone in this room knows it, including Mr. McClelland. He knows it, and you know it.

So I'm saying this is wrong. Why can't we do it tomorrow and postpone this meeting? Why waste our time? I am not going to attend that meeting; however, I will come tomorrow and voice my feelings on the Board of Directors that you all are doing this to us and you know that this is not the way it is supposed to be done, and you have admitted this is not the way it is supposed to be done. So why are you doing it?

LCDR HERON: All right, Charlie, thank you.

Are there any others that have questions on Richard's presentation? If not, we will take a 10-minute break and move into the public comment period.

I again would like to remind you, if you have not filled a card or want to make a comment, please do so. We will be back about 7:20.

(Short recess taken.)

LCDR HERON: Responses to comments will not be given this evening. They will be provided in writing in the Responsiveness Summary with the Record of Decision, which is scheduled to be available on November 30, 1995 and will be included in the City of San Francisco Main Library and Anna Waden Branch Library.

Those who don't wish to provide oral comments may provide written comments. Forms are available in back of the room.

The total number of written comments will be counted, and the names of those submitting comments will be read aloud for the public record.

I would like to start the second part of the meeting. You have heard Richard's overview

of the proposed Parcel A. I would like now to move to the comments part of the meeting.

According to what I have been handed, I only have one person who filled out a card; is that correct?

It's Christine Shirley from ARC Ecology. Is she still here?

MS. CHRISTINE SHIRLEY: I'm Christine Shirley, representing ARC Ecology.

We have read the RI/FS, and I have a few comments that I would like to put on the record tonight.

The first one is, given the somewhat accidental discovery of the IR-59 JAI site, there are a few statements in the IR/FS that give us some concern, like "numerous small, artifical silt is present on the site as a result of filling, past construction, underground utility installation, and possibly filling ravines and swales." And the statement "relatively small and unmapped silt deposits" is the phrase.

Those give us some concerns, because we wonder what the likelihood is that those unmapped silt deposits are, in fact, contaminated. And I would like to see this addressed somewhere in the RI/FS.

The second comment is that this involves the Work Plan Addendum that is presented in Appendix K, and this addendum was prepared to address Agency and Redevelopment Agency concerns about VOC's in the groundwater around the former underground storage tank at SA-12.

According to this addendum, four groundwater samples were to be taken on each side of the pit, some distance from the pit, to determine the extent of groundwater, possible groundwater contamination.

In fact, only one groundwater sample was collected. The three other borings were dry.

And I have a few questions about that sample.

First, I would like to know where it

is. It was not in the RI/FS where that groundwater was drawn from, which of the four borings it was taken from, so I would like to have that addressed.

And I'm wondering if the sampling location that actually had water in it satisfied the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency's concern about groundwater contamination west of the site. They were quite specific about wanting to understand that there is the plume traveling to the west; and since I don't know where the sample was taken, I don't know if that concern was addressed.

And then, based on this one sample, one groundwater sample, the RI/FS concludes that no substantial groundwater contamination was found at that tank site.

And I would need some help understanding how that one sample proves that there is no groundwater contamination as a result

of that underground storage tank, former tank, that has been removed.

That takes care of that small problem.

The RI/FS also does not address adequately the uncertainty associated with the conclusions presented in the RI/FS. I would like to see a little discussion about how adequate the sampling program was statistically to answer the questions that the RI/FS is supposed to answer, which is to describe the contamination at the Parcel A site.

So I would like a little discussion about the uncertainty associated with the sampling and the sampling methodology and also the Risk Assessment part of the RI/FS.

And the fourth issue is, the RI/FS did a weak job of explaining to me, anyway, what the extent of the motor oil contamination is all over the Parcel A site; and I would like to see a

summary in the RI/FS that addresses specifically motor oil contamination on Parcel A.

And then, finally, my fifth point is that lead contamination appears to be a problem at two sites -- SI-43 and SI-41. And I would like to see these areas addressed in the RI/FS, and I would like to know what action the Navy intends to take on those alleged contaminated sites.

I understand that the Investigation
by Excavation covered these areas with soil, but
in most cases only a couple of feet of clean soil
is put over these contaminated areas. And we are
concerned that, as the site is developed and
graded and rearranged to put buildings on it, that
these areas will be exposed to the air, exposure
with children and gardens and that sort of thing.
They won't remain covered forever, that is the
point.

Thank you.

LCDR HERON: Thank you.

1	Are you going to submit any notes?
2	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes, we're going to
3	submit written comments. I just wanted to
4	summarize the main points tonight.
5	LCDR HERON: Thanks very much. We
6	appreciate your concern.
7	I don't have anyone else, no other
8	sign-up cards for public comment. Is there
9	anybody else who would like to make a public
10	comment? You don't have to fill out a card; you
11	can come up here at this point.
12	I would like to remind whoever is
13	still here that the written comment is up until
14	the 5th of September, and we will be looking for
15	those comments to incorporate and address those
16	when we get them.
17	I am a little bit at a loss here,
18	because we're finishing very early.
19	FROM THE FLOOR: Did somebody answer

her questions?

1	LCDR HERON: We will get back to her
2	on the record. We will have some of that
3	information available to us tonight. At that
4	point, I move to adjourn the meeting. We will
5	stick around for a little while.
6	FROM THE FLOOR: Excuse me, do you
7	have some written comments? I would like to read
8	them into the record.
9	LCDR HERON: I stand corrected.
10	After I read the written comments, then we can
11	move to adjourn.
12	As I understand, we got two written
13	comments submitted. One is from Joyce F. Jones
14	from Palou Avenue, and the comment goes:
15	"Is there any way to speed up the
16	process? So many issues are to be resolved, and
17	time is of the essence. When???"
18	Thank you for your comments. We will
19	get back to you on that, and we do look for ways
20	to speed up the process. But we will give you a

better answer than just that.

The second comment is a fax from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board from Richard Hiett. It is rather long. I will go ahead and read it, and we will submit it to the record as well.

It is from the California Regional
Water Quality Control Board via fax, and the
subject is to the Draft Proposed Plan Hunters
Point Annex.

"Dear Mr. Shabahari:

"Regional Board staff have reviewed the aforementioned proposed plan and have the following comments:

"As described in the summary of proposed alternatives, it is unclear if monitoring wells will be abandoned (closed) in both alternatives or only in alternative 2. Both alternatives should properly enclose all monitoring wells that will not

1 be
2 red
3 cla
4 ove
5 red
6 The
7 'a
8 no

be in service. Further clarification is required. The costs associated with well closing are nominal in comparison to the overall project and should not be the reason for alternative selection.

Therefore, the difference in these 'alternatives' appears to be the deed notification.

"Board staff have previously
discussed property transfer concerns and
deed notification requirements for the
residual motor oil pollution in groundwater
with Navy staff and their consultants.

Board staff concur that, based on the level
of effort expended in these investigations
and the type of pollutants found, the
concentrations of motor oil detected in
groundwater within the Parcel A bedrock
does not require further investigation,
remediation, or groundwater monitoring.

"However, as stated in the draft RI,
the groundwater at Parcel A is not well
characterized due to the inherent
complexities within the bedrock formation.
Because of these complexities, Board staff
have always maintained that deed
notification should be included as part of
any no-action alternative for Parcel A.
The purpose of a deed notice is to alert
potential buyers and developers. It is not
intended to thwart development or
stigmatize the property.

"Disclosure of past and present environmental problems is part of most, if not all, real estate transactions. HPA is no exception.

"Board staff are available to work
with City and Navy staff to draft
acceptable language that meets all parties'
needs. For further discussion of this

1	issue, please contact the undersigned at
2	(510)286-4359 or Ms. Shin Roei-Lee at
3	(510)286-0699.
4	"Sincerely, Richard Hiett, Ground
5	Water and Waste Containment Division."
6	FROM THE FLOOR: Who is that?
7	LCDR HERON: The California Regional
8	Water Quality Control Board.
9	Are there any other oral comments or
10	written comments?
11	If there is no objection, I would
12	like to move we adjourn this evening's meeting.
13	Technical representatives will hang around for a
14	while. I will be here for a little while, and I
15	would like to thank you all for your
16	participation.
17	And, again, I would like to remind
18	you that the written comment period is open until
19	September 5th, if you want to get the word out to

your neighbors and friends.

1	MS. JOYCE JONES: The explanation
2	from Mr. Weber was very clear. That was really
3	the most substantive thing I heard so far prior to
4	the meeting, and that is why I had to ask the
5	question "when?" because he did a complete
6	explanation.
7	MR. WEBER: Thank you.
8	LCDR HERON: Thank you. Have a good
9	evening.
10	(Whereupon the hearing adjourned at
11	7:35 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, the undersigned, a duly authorized Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the within proceedings were taken down by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction and supervision, and that this transcript is a true record of the said proceedings.

Paul Schilla